	1		
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA		
2	MIAMI DIVISION		
3	Case No. 04-Civ-20225-SEITZ/O'SULLIVAN		
4			
_	MOSHE SAPERSTEIN, et al,		
5			
6	Plaintiffs,		
7	r Idinciris,		
	vs. MIAMI, FLORIDA		
8	APRIL 29, 2010		
9	THE PALESTINIAN AUTHORITY;		
10	THE PALESTINE LIBERATION ORGANIZATION,		
11	UNUANIZATION,		
11	Defendants.		
12			
13	TRANSCRIPT OF INFORMAL DISCOVERY HEARING		
	BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN J. O'SULLIVAN,		
14	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
15	APPEARANCES:		
16	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:		
17	TOR THE PLAINTING.		
18	BERKMAN LAW OFFICE, LLC		
	111 Livingston Street		
19	Suite 1928		
20	Brooklyn, NY 11201		
20 21	BY: ROBERT J. TOLCHIN, ESQ.		
21	BY: ISAAC M. JAROSLAWICZ, ESQ.		
22	1177 Kane Concourse		
	Suite 222		
23	Bay Harbor Islands, FL 33154		
24	DEDONTED DV. JEDALD M. MEVEDO		
25	REPORTED BY: JERALD M. MEYERS TELEPHONE: 954-431-4757		
23	ILLLI HONE: JJT-TJI-T/J/		

1		2
2	TOO THE DEFENDANTS	
3	FOR THE DEFENDANTS:	
4	·	
5		EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE 1 North Clematis Street
6		Suite 400 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401-4309
7		BY: GARY A. WOODFIELD, ESQ.
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		MILLED C CUEVALTED CHARTEDED
13		MILLER & CHEVALIER CHARTERED 655 15th Street, N.W. Suite 900
14		Washington, D.C. 20005-6701. BY: CHARLES F.B. McALEER, JR, ESQ.
15		BY: RICHARD A. HIBEY, ESQ. BY: JOHN EUSTICE, ESQ.
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21	REPORTED BY:	JERALD M. MEYERS, RPR. J.M. COURT REPORTING, INC.
22		1601 N.W. 109TH TERRACE Pembroke Pines, FL 33026-2717
23		Telephone: 954-431-4757 E-Mail Address: CRJM@AOL.COM
24		
25		

THE COURT: I thought he was on the executive or some kind of executive committee.

MR. TOLCHIN: Yes, an Executive Committee.

THE COURT: Of the PLO?

MR. TOLCHIN: Strictly for Jerusalem.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. I am going to require that Mr. Qurei be provided for deposition.

I find that, first of all, his whole argument was, was it an Apex deposition is what they call it?

MR. TOLCHIN: Correct.

THE COURT: That is where you are not going to bar the high level officials. You are going require lower level officials to testify.

Instead, it seems like you provided a higher level official who had less knowledge about the facts than what Mr. Qurei had. And so, you know, this guy was the past Prime Minister is now a member of an executive board, and you decide to present, I mean that's like saying, you know, you want to depose the local, the manager of the local Ford plant, and I say, "No. You have first got to depose the workers there, so substitute someone else who has knowledge," and you would say, "Give him the CEO of Ford."

I mean, your whole argument seems to be specious when you say, "I can't produce this person because he is too high level. I shouldn't be required to because he is too high

level" and then produce someone who is at a present day higher level.

MR. McAleer: Your Honor, can I remind the court that the PLO, and Mr. Yasser Abbot Rabo say had requested that Mr. Qurei appear for his deposition. Mr. Qurei refused to appear for a deposition.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. McALEER: The court would have it as if we somehow we did some bait and switch on this, which is not the case at all.

We were here before the court filing the motion for protective order within a factual context where Mr. Qurei had declined to appear for deposition, notwithstanding the request made to him by the PLO Chairman.

THE COURT: Right. Right. Well, I mean now he is being ordered to appear. If he doesn't appear then --

MR. McALEER: Let me correct that. The secretary of the PLO.

THE COURT: He is going to be ordered to appear by this court. If he does not appear, then, you know, I remember you made the argument back then that, you know, someone who cannot appear shouldn't be required to appear.

Well, I am ordering that he appear. The PLO can tell him, "You know, some judge over in the United States says you have to appear for this deposition and be prepared to testify

in regards to this stuff."

If he doesn't want to show up, that's fine. You know, the PLO, you know, they can do things to make him appear if they want to.

They can tell him, "You are not going to be on the Executive Committee anymore, I suppose, if you don't follow our directions," or they can, you know, tell him, "Go hide somewhere." They can do whatever they want to do, but they are going to suffer the consequences if he doesn't appear.

MR. McAleer: Your Honor, Mr. Qurei, and to the extent Mr. Tolchin has blurred this, I just want to be really clear.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. McALEER: Mr. Qurei did not have a position with the PA or the PLO during the relevant period.

THE COURT: Right. I understand. He made admissions subsequent to that when he was the Prime Minister. I have already addressed that.

MR. McALEER: And what Mr. Tolchin has told this court is that he made a deliberate decision not to question the Prime Minister regarding the underlying facts or the truth of the information that he said he was seeking, and that this court provided for in its December 30th order.

THE COURT: Well, I think it would have made sense for this gentleman to have reviewed Mr. Qurei's statements so that he could then have familiarized himself with the subject in

regard to that; with the subject matter in regard to that.

So it would have been helpful to him to know, well, let's see, these folks the plaintiffs they want to depose Mr. Qurei to find out whether or not he is familiar with money that is going to or that Qurei holds in and manages Fatah funds."

Then he could have looked into that and said, "Hey, did we ever hold any funds for Qurei?" I mean, did Qurei ever hold any funds for Fatah? Yes or no, but he apparently didn't even bother going through that or whether or not Qurei ever said that Al-Qusar was part of the Fatah.

MR. TOLCHIN: Al-Aksa.

THE COURT: I am sorry. Al-Aksa was part of the Fatah.

MR. McALEER: The account that --

THE COURT: I am not hearing any more argument. We are going to the next subject. You have two weeks to produce him. What is the next one?

MR. TOLCHIN: The next one, Your Honor, was we served a notice of a 30(b)(6) witness, that is exhibit 10 to my binders.

The topic for the 30(B)(6) witness was the origin and amounts of the funds received by and the recipients and amounts of the funds paid out by the Palestine National Fund, PNF between October 1, 2000 and February 18, 2002 and, 2, the

Therefore, the defendant has waived it. I find that the person who they produced for a 30(b)(6) witness is not familiar at all about the origin and the amount of funds that went to the PNF during October 1, 2000 to February 18, 2002.

In fact, he said, "I don't know. I can make some assumptions based on what is going on today, but I don't know really what their funding was," and he also had little knowledge, other than what had been provided previously through the statements regarding the previously produced documents, did he have any knowledge of outgoing funds.

And, so, therefore, I am going to require that the defendants produce another 30(b)(6) witness who is familiar with the origin and amount of funds and the recipients and the amount of the funds paid out by the Palestinian National Fund between October 1, 2000 and February 18, 2002.

Now, that's a rather broad category, and I don't know how a person would know every, you know, payment that was made.

I mean, it seems like they should know more than this fellow who was basically saying, "Hey, I wasn't there. I don't really know."

I assume it is the same way it is now, but it will be difficult to educate a person to know every person or every entity that funds are paid out of as big as the Palestinian National Fund.

MR. TOLCHIN: That is the funny thing, Judge, because